

April 11, 2013

Mr. David W. Banowsky Counsel for NETEX Banowsky & Levine 12801 North Central Expressway, Suite 1700 Dallas, Texas 75243

OR2013-05861

Dear Mr. Banowsky:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 483852.

The Northeast Texas Rural Rail Transportation District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for a lease agreement approved by the district on January 9, 2013, and the corresponding roll call vote. The district received two additional requests from separate requestors for the same specified lease agreement. Although you take no position on the public availability of the submitted information, you state the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Cotton Belt Turnpike, LP ("Cotton Belt"). Accordingly, you inform us, and provide documentation showing, you notified Cotton Belt of the request and of the company's right to submit comments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released to the requestor. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from an attorney for Cotton Belt. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note you have not submitted information responsive to the first requestor's request for the roll call vote from January 9, 2013. To the extent information responsive to this portion of the request existed on the date the district received the request, we assume you

have released it. See Open Records Decision-No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible). If you have not released any such information, you must do so at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302.

Section 552.110 of the Government Code protects (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. See id. § 552.110(a)–(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. Id. § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors. This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a prima facie case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

<sup>(1)</sup> the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];

<sup>(2)</sup> the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;

<sup>(3)</sup> the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;

<sup>(4)</sup> the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;

<sup>(5)</sup> the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;

<sup>(6)</sup> the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

See Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) of the Government Code protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

Cotton Belt asserts its rental formula, contained in the submitted information, is a trade secret. However, we note pricing information pertaining to a particular proposal or contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." See RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); Huffines, 314 S.W.2d at 776; ORDs 319 at 3, 306 at 3. Accordingly, the district may not withhold any of Cotton Belt's information under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Cotton Belt also argues its rental formula is commercial information the release of which would cause it substantial competitive harm. However, we note the contract at issue was awarded to Cotton Belt, and this office considers the prices charged in government contract awards to be a matter of strong public interest; thus, the pricing information of a winning bidder is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b). See Open Records Decision No. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors). See generally Dep't of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act 344-345 (2009) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). Accordingly, none of Cotton Belt's information may be withheld under section 552.110(b). As no further exceptions to disclosure were raised, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and

responsibilities, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.state.ty.us/open/index\_orl.php">http://www.oag.state.ty.us/open/index\_orl.php</a>, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787:

Sincerely,

Kathryn R. Mattingly Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

KRM/bhf

Ref: ID# 483852

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 3 Requestors (w/o enclosures)

> Texas Turnpike Corporation Cotton Belt Turnpike, L.P. C/O Mr. J. Rowland Cook Winstead PC 401 Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701 (w/o enclosures)